(3)

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

W. P. No. 215 **/ 2006** Single Bench

PETITIONER : Ramhaiya Lal Shrivas
S/o Shri Nanhuram Shrivas Age-48 year
R/o Behind State Bank, Pendra,
P.O. & Tah. Pendra Road,
Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS :

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through :- Its Secretary
Public Works Department
D.K.S. Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. Chief Engineer,
Public Works Department
Bilaspur (C.G.)

3. Suprintendent Engineer,
Public Works Department,
Bilaspur Zone, Bilaspur (C.G.)

4. Shri Peeladas
Work Charge, Driver Public Works Deptt.
Jashpur Division, Jashpur (C.G.)

5. Dilharan Singh
Driver, P.W.D., Champa Division,
Janjgir-Champa (C.G.)

6. Dauram
Driver, P.W.D. Pendra Division,
Pendra Road, Distt. Bilaspur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 6644/05
Presented by Shri Manoj Dewangan
dated 26/12/05

RECEIVED-COPY
26/12/05
CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

Single Bench

7. Harikirtan Singh,
   Driver, P.W.D. Korba Division,
   Korba (C.G.)

8. Shanker Singh
   Driver, P.W.D. Bilaspur Division
   Bilaspur (C.G.)

9. Ravishanker Sahu
   Driver, P.W.D. Pendra Division
   Pendra Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

10. Ganesh Singh Otty
    Driver, P.W.D. Pendra Division
    Pendra Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

11. Maksud Khan
    Driver, P.W.D. Pendra Division
    Pendra Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

12. Dujram
    Driver, P.W.D. Pendra Division
    Pendra Road, Bilaspur (C.G.)

"PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA"

XI-HC-22

(33)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 215/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

17-1-2006

Shri Vinod Deshmukh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Shri Satish Gupta, Dy. Govt. Advocate for the State.
Heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner was appointed on the post of Helper on 1-3-1981 and since then he is working as Helper. He further submits that respondents no. 4 to 12 have been promoted but the petitioner has not been promoted. He submitted that he has submitted representations as per Annexure P-3, P-4 and P-5, but the same have not been decided.

Counsel for the State submits that for the present the petitioner may be given an opportunity of filing the detailed representation afresh before the competent authority of the respondents, which shall be considered on its own merits in accordance with law.

Having considered and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, in the opinion of this Court, ends of justice will be served if a direction is given to the petitioner to make a detailed representation raising his grievances before the competent authority of the respondents along with a copy of the petition within a month from today, and on such representation being filed, the competent authority of the respondents shall decide the same on its own merits in accordance with law as early as possible preferably within four months from the date of receipt of the representation. It is made clear that if any grievance still remains, the petitioner may avail the remedy available under the law.

With the aforesaid observations, this petition stands disposed of.
Certified copy as per rules.

Sd/-
Fakhruddin
Judge

Patrak
25.1.06